

---

<b>Subject:</b>	Measurable Residual Disease Assessment in Lymphoid Cancers Using Next Generation Sequencing	<b>Publish Date:</b>	03/29/2023
<b>Guideline #:</b>	CG-GENE-19	<b>Last Review Date:</b>	02/16/2023
<b>Status:</b>	Reviewed		

---

## Description

This document addresses next generation sequencing (NGS; also known as high-throughput and deep sequencing) of tumor DNA to detect or quantify measurable (minimal) disease for individuals with lymphoid cancer.

**Note:** Please see the following related documents for additional information:

- CG-GENE-13 Genetic Testing for Inherited Diseases
- CG-GENE-14 Gene Mutation Testing for Cancer Susceptibility and Management
- LAB.00015 Detection of Circulating Tumor Cells

## Clinical Indications

### Medically Necessary:

Next generation sequencing of tumor DNA to detect or quantify measurable (minimal) residual disease in individuals with acute lymphocytic leukemia is considered **medically necessary**.

Next generation sequencing of tumor DNA to detect or quantify measurable (minimal) residual disease in individuals with multiple myeloma following transplant is considered **medically necessary**.

### Not Medically Necessary:

Next generation sequencing of tumor DNA to detect or quantify measurable (minimal) residual disease in individuals with all other lymphoid cancer is considered **not medically necessary**.

## Coding

*The following codes for treatments and procedures applicable to this guideline are included below for informational purposes. Inclusion or exclusion of a procedure, diagnosis or device code(s) does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement policy. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage of these services as it applies to an individual member.*

### When services may be Medically Necessary when criteria are met:

---

Federal and State law, as well as contract language including definitions and specific coverage provisions/exclusions, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage. The member's contract benefits in effect on the date that services are rendered must be used. Clinical UM Guidelines, which address medical efficacy, should be considered before utilizing medical opinion in adjudication. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical UM guidelines are used when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether or not to adopt a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the back of the member's card.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

**Measurable Residual Disease Assessment in Lymphoid Cancers Using Next Generation Sequencing**

**CPT**

- 81479 Unlisted molecular pathology procedure [when specified as NGS tumor DNA testing for MRD]
- 81599 Unlisted multianalyte assay with algorithmic analysis [when specified as NGS tumor DNA testing for MRD]
- 0364U Oncology (hematolymphoid neoplasm), genomic sequence analysis using multiplex (PCR) and next-generation sequencing with algorithm, quantification of dominant clonal sequence(s), reported as presence or absence of minimal residual disease (MRD) with quantitation of disease burden, when appropriate  
clonoSEQ® Assay, Adaptive Biotechnologies

**ICD-10 Diagnosis**

- C90.00-C90.32 Multiple myeloma and malignant plasma cell neoplasms
- C91.00-C91.02 Acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)
- Z85.6 Personal history of leukemia [when specified as ALL]
- Z85.79 Personal history of other malignant neoplasms of lymphoid, hematopoietic and related tissues [when specified as multiple myeloma]

**When services are Not Medically Necessary:**

For the procedure and diagnosis codes listed above when criteria are not met or for situations designated in the Clinical Indications section as not medically necessary, including for all other lymphoid cancers.

**When services are also Not Medically Necessary:**

For the following procedure code, or when the code describes a procedure designated in the Clinical Indications section as not medically necessary.

**CPT**

- 0171U Targeted genomic sequence analysis panel, acute myeloid leukemia, myelodysplastic syndrome, and myeloproliferative neoplasms, DNA analysis, 23 genes, interrogation for sequence variants, rearrangements and minimal residual disease, reported as presence/absence  
MyMRD® NGS Panel, Laboratory for Personalized Molecular Medicine, Laboratory for Personalized Molecular Medicine

**ICD-10 Diagnosis**

All diagnoses

**Discussion/General Information**

**Lymphoid Cancer (Lymphoma)**

Federal and State law, as well as contract language including definitions and specific coverage provisions/exclusions, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage. The member's contract benefits in effect on the date that services are rendered must be used. Clinical UM Guidelines, which address medical efficacy, should be considered before utilizing medical opinion in adjudication. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical UM guidelines are used when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether or not to adopt a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the back of the member's card.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

## Measurable Residual Disease Assessment in Lymphoid Cancers Using Next Generation Sequencing

---

Lymphoma (Hodgkin lymphoma and non-Hodgkin lymphoma) is the most common type of blood cancer. Lymphoma develops when lymphocytes multiply and grow uncontrollably. The two principal types of cells that develop into lymphomas are B-lymphocytes (B-cells) and T-lymphocytes (T-cells). There are other types of B-cell NHL, including but not limited to follicular lymphoma, chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma (CLL/SLL), DLBCL, MM, and MCL. Lymphoblastic or lymphocytic leukemia is a related cancer and is considered either a lymphoma or leukemia, depending on how much of the bone marrow is involved.

Treatment options for lymphoma vary depending upon the disease subtype and may include surgery, radiation therapy, chemotherapy, targeted therapy, plasmapheresis, biologic therapy, stem cell transplant and watchful waiting. In certain cancers, an important consideration in determining success of treatment and monitoring disease progression and prognosis is the monitoring of MRD. Research is being done to determine if MRD detection and quantification using ctDNA can be used in managing individuals with lymphoid cancer.

### *Measurable (Minimal) Residual Disease Assessment in Lymphoid Cancer*

While some individuals who undergo treatment for lymphoma will achieve a complete remission and experience prolonged disease-free survival, others may experience a recurrence or die from the disease. Relapse is thought to be the result of residual cancer cells that remain following a “complete” remission, but are below the limits of detection using conventional morphologic assessment. These subclinical levels of residual cancer cells, referred to as measurable residual disease (MRD, previously termed minimal residual disease [MRD]), are an important consideration in determining the success of the treatment, forming a prognosis, monitoring disease progression and choosing therapies.

Current generally accepted methods for MRD assessment include allele-specific oligonucleotide polymerase chain reaction (ASO-PCR) and flow cytometry (FC). Each technique has its advantages and disadvantages. ASO-PCR provides high sensitivity (generally 1 leukemic cell in 100,000; 0.001%) and has wide applicability given that many malignant cells in many individuals with leukemia, lymphoma, or another malignant hematologic disease have acquired clonal chromosomal abnormalities. But ASO-PCR is time-intensive, typically requires bone marrow and the development of unique patient-specific primers and probes for quantitative PCR. FC also has wide applicability, can be accomplished within 1 day from bone marrow or whole blood and can provide information on both benign and malignant cells, but has lower sensitivity (generally 1 leukemic cell in 10,000; 0.01%). Neither ASO-PCR nor FC is capable of capturing changes associated with immunophenotypic drift during disease progression.

Research is ongoing to determine if high-throughput (deep) sequencing of circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) to detect or quantify MRD can be used to manage individuals with lymphoid cancer. ctDNA has been identified in a variety of malignancies and levels have been shown to increase with disease stage. The analysis of ctDNA requires the use of highly sensitive techniques due to the small fraction of tumor specific DNA present within background levels of normal cell-free circulating DNA (cfDNA). Unlike PCR and FC based assays, deep sequencing allows for both the monitoring of MRD of original clones and of clonal evolution during therapy. In initial tests, deep sequencing detected one leukemic cell among greater than 1 million leukocytes. Targeted deep sequencing using PCR-based approaches have been employed to sequence specified genomic regions in blood, plasma and bone marrow (Ignatiadis, 2014).

---

Federal and State law, as well as contract language including definitions and specific coverage provisions/exclusions, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage. The member's contract benefits in effect on the date that services are rendered must be used. Clinical UM Guidelines, which address medical efficacy, should be considered before utilizing medical opinion in adjudication. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical UM guidelines are used when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether or not to adopt a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the back of the member's card.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

## Measurable Residual Disease Assessment in Lymphoid Cancers Using Next Generation Sequencing

---

Monitoring tumor-specific mutations in plasma following surgical resection has the potential to identify individuals at risk of relapse and to detect disease recurrence. The ability to make an early diagnosis of relapse may allow effective treatment strategies to be implemented at a time when disease burden is still minimal. Additionally, researchers are exploring the role of ctDNA in stratifying individuals at highest risk of relapse to guide the selection of the most appropriate adjuvant therapy (Ignatiadis, 2014).

### *Measurable (Minimal) Residual Disease Assessment in Acute Lymphocytic Leukemia (also known as Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia [ALL])*

The National Comprehensive Cancer Networks (NCCN) Clinical Practice Guidelines on Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (V1.2022) indicate that MRD is an essential component of the evaluation of individuals with ALL during the course of sequential therapy. According to the NCCN:

Collectively, studies show the high prognostic value of MRD in assessing risk for relapse in patients with ALL, and the role of MRD monitoring in identifying subgroups of patients who may benefit from further intensified therapies or alternative treatment strategies. The preferred sample for MRD assessment is the first small volume (up to 3 mL) pull or early pull of the bone marrow aspirate, if feasible. If patient is not treated at an academic medical center, there are commercially available tests that should be used for MRD assessment. Six-color flow cytometry can detect leukemic cells at a sensitivity threshold of fewer than  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  (<0.01%) bone marrow MNCs, and PCR or NGS methods can detect leukemic cells at a sensitivity threshold of fewer than  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  (<0.0001%) bone marrow MNCs. The concordance rate for detecting MRD between these methods is generally high.

MRD status at various time points during and following treatment has prognostic value, and some studies suggest that altering therapy based on the results of MRD testing improves morbidity and mortality. While PCR-based techniques and FC are currently employed for MRD assessment in most individuals with ALL, studies have demonstrated that MRD can be determined with accuracy using NGS.

Faham and colleagues (2012) assessed the suitability of this method to monitor MRD in individuals with ALL. The authors compared the deep sequencing method with the gold-standard MRD assays, multiparameter FC and ASO-PCR, using diagnostic and follow-up samples from 106 participants. Deep sequencing detected MRD in all 28 samples shown to be positive by FC and in 35 of the 36 shown to be positive by ASO-PCR, and revealed MRD in 10 and 3 additional samples that were negative by FC and ASO-PCR, respectively. The authors concluded that although the study was limited to “the most common form of ALL, B-lineage, the same approach could also be applied to T-lineage ALL or other lymphoid malignancies such as chronic lymphocytic leukemia, non-Hodgkin lymphoma and multiple myeloma.”

Logan and colleagues (2014) used the LymphoSIGHT HTS platform (Sequentia Inc., South San Francisco, CA) to quantify MRD in 237 samples from 29 adults with B cell ALL prior to and after allo-hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT). Using primers for the IGH-VDJ, IGH-DJ, IGK, TCRB, TCRD, and TCRG loci, MRD was

---

Federal and State law, as well as contract language including definitions and specific coverage provisions/exclusions, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage. The member's contract benefits in effect on the date that services are rendered must be used. Clinical UM Guidelines, which address medical efficacy, should be considered before utilizing medical opinion in adjudication. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical UM guidelines are used when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether or not to adopt a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the back of the member's card.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

## Measurable Residual Disease Assessment in Lymphoid Cancers Using Next Generation Sequencing

---

quantified in 93% of subjects. Leukemia-associated clonotypes at these loci were found in 52%, 28%, 10%, 35%, 28%, and 41% of participants, respectively. MRD  $\geq 10^{-4}$  before HCT conditioning forecasted post-HCT relapse (hazard ratio [HR], 7.7; 95% confidence interval [CI], 2.0 to 30;  $p=0.003$ ). In post-HCT blood samples, MRD  $\geq 10^{-6}$  demonstrated 100% positive predictive value for relapse with median lead time of 89 days (HR, 14; 95% CI, 4.7 to 44,  $p<0.0001$ ). The authors concluded that the use of this technology might identify a window for clinical intervention before clinically evident relapse without reliance on bone marrow for MRD quantification.

According to the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines on Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (V1.2022) the most frequently used methods for MRD assessment include at least 6-color FC assays which detect leukemia-associated immunophenotypes, RQ-PCR assays to detect fusion genes (eg, BCR-ABL1), and NGS-based assays to identify clonal rearrangements in immunoglobulin (Ig) heavy chain genes, and/or T-cell receptor (TCR) genes. The NCCN considers new multiplexed PCR and NGS for MRD emerging methodologies.

In September 2018, the U. S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA) granted De Novo designation for the ClonoSEQ<sup>®</sup> Minimal Residual Disease assay, (Adaptive Biotechnologies<sup>®</sup>, Seattle, WA), a next generation sequencing (NGS)-based test for MRD in patients with multiple myeloma or ALL.

### *Measurable (Minimal) Residual Disease Assessment in Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (CLL)*

Logan and colleagues (2011) investigated the use of high-throughput sequencing for the quantification of MRD in 6 individuals who had undergone HCT for chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL). In this study, the researchers reported using widely available consensus primers (sets of primers that can be used for any subject) to amplify all immunoglobulin heavy chain (IGH) genes in a mixture of polyclonal lymphoid cells, followed by parallel high-throughput sequencing (HTS) of the resulting immunoreceptor amplicons. The goal of the study was to compare the performance characteristics of IGH-HTS, ASO-PCR, and FC for tracking disease burden in a group of CLL subjects following HCT. Using amplicon libraries generated with consensus primers from 28 blood samples of 6 individuals with CLL, the authors determined the sensitivity of IGH-HTS to be  $10^{-5}$ , with a high correlation between quantification by ASO-PCR and IGH-HTS ( $r=0.85$ ). The researchers found that while the IGH-HTS approach demonstrated sensitivity equivalent to ASO-PCR, it did not require patient-specific reagents or procedures.

In 2013, Logan and colleagues used the LymphoSIGHT method, an IGH-HTS MRD platform with a validated detection limit of  $10^{-6}$  and quantitative range above  $10^{-5}$ , to predict relapse in 40 study participants who had undergone reduced-intensity allo-HCT for high-risk CLL. More than 400 samples from the 40 participants were analyzed. A total of 9 participants relapsed within 12 months post-HCT. Of the 31 subjects in remission at 12 months post-HCT, disease-free survival was 86% in subjects with MRD  $< 10^{-4}$  and 20% in those with MRD  $\geq 10^{-4}$  (relapse hazard ratio [HR] 9.0; 95% CI, 2.5-32;  $p<0.0001$ ), with median follow-up of 36 months. Additionally, MRD predicted relapse at other time points, including 9, 18 and 24 months post-HCT. MRD doubling time  $< 12$  months with disease burden  $\geq 10^{-5}$  was associated with relapse within 12 months of MRD assessment in 50% of subjects, and within 24 months in 90% of subjects. The authors concluded that the IGH-HTS method may facilitate routine MRD quantification in clinical trials.

---

Federal and State law, as well as contract language including definitions and specific coverage provisions/exclusions, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage. The member's contract benefits in effect on the date that services are rendered must be used. Clinical UM Guidelines, which address medical efficacy, should be considered before utilizing medical opinion in adjudication. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical UM guidelines are used when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether or not to adopt a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the back of the member's card.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

## Measurable Residual Disease Assessment in Lymphoid Cancers Using Next Generation Sequencing

---

In August 2020 the FDA granted clearance for ClonoSEQ (Adaptive Biotechnologies, Seattle Washington), formerly known as LymphoSIGHT, to be used as an in vitro diagnostic test for MRD monitoring in blood or bone marrow in individuals with CLL. The FDA de novo approval document for ClonoSEQ was based on two clinical validation studies. The first study for CLL (CLL14-NCT02242942) included 336 subjects and evaluated the ability of ClonoSEQ to predict progression-free survival (PFS). MRD positivity was characterized as  $>1 \times 10^{-5}$ . The study demonstrated that MRD-positive individuals had an “event risk” of 9.45-times greater than the MRD-negative cohort. Additionally, a 10-fold increase in MRD was associated with a 2.35-fold increase in event risk. Participants under the  $10^{-5}$  threshold (as determined by the ClonoSEQ assessment) had better PFS than individuals above  $10^{-5}$ , suggesting that MRD-negative participants experienced better clinical outcomes compared to MRD-positive ones (FDA, 2018a).

The second validation study (NCT00759798) demonstrated a correlation between PFS and/or survival with negative results of MRD testing at the end of therapy in individuals receiving fludarabine and cyclophosphamide plus rituximab treatment. This prospective, phase 2 clinical trial assessed MRD in the participants who achieved undetectable MRD ( $< 10^{-4}$ ) in bone marrow according to FC analyses at the end of fludarabine and cyclophosphamide plus rituximab treatment. Bone marrow, peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs), and plasma samples were used for the MRD assessment. In total, 27.4% of the subjects achieved undetectable MRD according to HTS ( $< 10^{-6}$ ). MRD rates in the BM, PBMCs, and plasma were 25%, 55%, and 75%, respectively. Participants who had undetectable MRD according to HTS at the end of therapy demonstrated better PFS than those who were positive for MRD for all sample types (Thompson, 2019).

Currently, MRD testing is reserved for subjects enrolled in clinical trials and is not considered part of the routine care of individuals with CLL (Eichhorst, 2021). Clinical trial data suggest that individuals who have elevated levels of detectable MRD have poorer clinical outcomes (PFS) when compared with those with undetectable MRD or low levels of MRD. However, prospective trials are necessary to determine whether there is a significant improvement in health outcomes from modifying treatment based on MRD status (for example, whether a subject should undergo additional therapy to convert a response from a CR with MRD to a CR with undetectable MRD). Additionally, randomized trials are needed which demonstrate that no clinical benefit is lost by halting therapy with targeted agents based on MRD status.

### *Measurable (Minimal) Residual Disease Assessment in Diffuse Large B-cell Lymphoma (DLBCL)*

Kurtz and colleagues (2015) explored the use of non-invasive monitoring of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) by immunoglobulin high-throughput sequencing (Ig-HTS). In this prospective study, the authors evaluated the utility of NGS technique in 311 blood and 105 tumor samples from 75 individuals with DLBCL by comparing the cellular (circulating leukocytes) and acellular (plasma cell-free DNA) components of peripheral blood and 18FDG PET/CT to clinical outcomes. Clonal immunoglobulin rearrangements were identified in 83 % of subjects with adequate tumor samples to enable subsequent monitoring in peripheral blood. Molecular disease based on plasma, as compared to circulating leukocytes, was more abundant and more correlated with radiographic disease burden. Prior to treatment, molecular disease was detected in the plasma of 82 % of the subjects compared to 71 % in circulating cells ( $p=0.68$ ). However, molecular disease was detected significantly more often in the plasma at time of relapse (100% vs. 30 %,  $p=0.001$ ). Detection of molecular disease in the plasma often preceded

---

Federal and State law, as well as contract language including definitions and specific coverage provisions/exclusions, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage. The member's contract benefits in effect on the date that services are rendered must be used. Clinical UM Guidelines, which address medical efficacy, should be considered before utilizing medical opinion in adjudication. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical UM guidelines are used when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether or not to adopt a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the back of the member's card.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

## Measurable Residual Disease Assessment in Lymphoid Cancers Using Next Generation Sequencing

---

PET/CT detection of relapse in subjects initially achieving remission. During surveillance time-points prior to relapse, plasma Ig-HTS exhibited improved specificity (100% vs. 56%,  $p<0.0001$ ) and similar sensitivity (31% vs. 55%,  $p=0.4$ ) compared to PET/CT.

In a study by Roschewski and colleagues (2015), researchers retrospectively assessed whether ctDNA encoding the clonal immunoglobulin gene sequence could be detected in serial serum samples of individuals with DLBCL and be used to predict clinical disease recurrence after frontline treatment. Clonal products were identified in the pretreatment specimens from 126 subjects who were followed for a median of 11 years. Interim monitoring of ctDNA at the end of two treatment cycles in 108 subjects demonstrated a 5-year time to progression of approximately 40% in participants with detectable ctDNA and approximately 80% in those without detectable ctDNA ( $p<0.0001$ ). Detectable interim ctDNA demonstrated a positive predictive value of 62.5% (95% CI 40.6-81.2) and a negative predictive value of 79.8% (69.6-87.8). Surveillance monitoring of ctDNA was carried out in 107 of the participants who achieved complete remission. A Cox proportional hazards model indicated that the hazard ratio for clinical disease progression was 228 (95% CI, 51-1022) for individuals who developed detectable ctDNA during surveillance compared with individuals with undetectable ctDNA ( $p<0.0001$ ). Surveillance ctDNA revealed a positive predictive value of 88.2% (95% CI, 63.6-98.5) and a negative predictive value of 97.8% (92.2-99.7) and identified risk of recurrence at a median of 3.5 months (range 0-200) prior to evidence of clinical disease.

### *Measurable (Minimal) Residual Disease Assessment in Multiple Myeloma (MM)*

Researchers have investigated NGS as a means to detect MRD in MM. Martinez-Lopez (2014) evaluated the prognostic value of deep sequencing to detect MRD in 133 individuals with MM who had demonstrated a very good partial response (VGPR) after front-line therapy. Deep sequencing was performed on subjects in whom a high-frequency myeloma clone was identified and MRD was assessed using IGH variable, diversity, and joining (IGH-VDJ), IGH-diversity and joining a (IGH-DJ), and IGK assays. Deep sequencing results were compared to those of multiparametric FC (MFC) and ASO-PCR. Deep sequencing applicability was 91%. Concordance between deep sequencing and MFC and ASO-PCR was 83% and 85%, respectively. Individuals who were MRD(-) by sequencing had a longer time to tumor progression (TTP) (median 80 vs 31 months;  $p<0.0001$ ) and overall survival (median not reached vs 81 months;  $p=0.02$ ), compared with individuals who were MRD(+). When stratifying participants by different levels of MRD, the respective TTP medians were: MRD  $\geq 10(-3)$  27 months, MRD 10(-3) to 10(-5) 48 months, and MRD  $< 10(-5)$  80 months ( $p=0.003$  to 0.0001). Ninety-two percent of VGPR subjects were MRD(+). Among individuals with a complete response, the TTP remained significantly longer for MRD(-) compared with MRD(+) subjects (131 vs 35 months;  $p=0.0009$ ).

Korde and colleagues (2016) reported the results of a study which employed NGS in 43 individuals with MM who were treated with carfilzomib, lenalidomide, and dexamethasone. The researchers observed a 12-month progression-free survival for MRD-negative participants of 100% versus 79% for MRD-positive participants ( $p<0.001$ ).

The IFM2009 trial demonstrated that NGS is sufficiently sensitive to assess MRD status in individuals with MM. A total of 700 participants were randomized to receive either eight cycles of Velcade®-Revlimid®-Dexamethasone (VRD; arm A), or three VRD cycles in addition to allogeneic stem cell transplantation followed by two

---

Federal and State law, as well as contract language including definitions and specific coverage provisions/exclusions, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage. The member's contract benefits in effect on the date that services are rendered must be used. Clinical UM Guidelines, which address medical efficacy, should be considered before utilizing medical opinion in adjudication. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical UM guidelines are used when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether or not to adopt a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the back of the member's card.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

## Measurable Residual Disease Assessment in Lymphoid Cancers Using Next Generation Sequencing

---

consolidation VRD cycles (arm B). All participants then received lenalidomide maintenance therapy for a period of 12 months. A total of 289 subjects were evaluated using NGS and 475 subjects were assessed using FC before maintenance and 178 by NGS and 310 by FC after maintenance therapy. MRD detection using NGS was possible in 266 (92%) of 289 individuals with a sensitivity of one tumor cell in  $10^6$  cells. Among those subjects who achieved a complete response, the 3-year progression-free survival was 87% for MRD-negative participants and 42% for MRD-positive participants, pre-maintenance therapy. The corresponding numbers were 83% and 30% when MRD was tested after maintenance therapy. A formal comparison of FC with NGS could not be carried out given the low sensitivity (one tumor cell in  $10^5$  cells) for the FC method used in this study (Avet-Loiseau, 2015).

Munshi and colleagues (2017) reported the results of a meta-analysis that evaluated the prognostic value of MRD in individuals with MM. Most studies were small and varied in terms of the population being studied, treatment, and MRD assessment methods. The impact of MRD status on PFS and OS was measured by pooling data from relevant trials. Data were adjusted to allow for different proportions of subjects with MRD in different studies and analyzed using the Peto method. Forest plots were generated based on Cox model analysis. A total of 14 studies ( $n=1273$ ) provided information on the impact of MRD on PFS, and 12 studies ( $n=1100$ ) provided data on OS. Results were reported specifically in subjects who had achieved conventional complete response (CR) in 5 studies for PFS ( $n=574$ ) and 6 studies for OS ( $n=616$ ). An MRD-negative status was associated with an appreciably better PFS overall (hazard ratio [HR], 0.41; 95% CI, 0.36-0.48;  $P<0.001$ ) and in studies specifically looking at CR subjects (HR, 0.44; 95% CI, 0.34-0.56;  $P<0.001$ ). Overall survival was also better in MRD-negative subjects overall (HR, 0.57; 95% CI, 0.46-0.71;  $P<0.001$ ) and in CR subjects (HR, 0.47; 95% CI, 0.33-0.67;  $P<0.001$ ). Tests of heterogeneity found no significant differences among the studies for OS and PFS.

Perrot and colleagues (2018) assessed the prognostic value of MRD (10-6), measured during maintenance therapy by NGS. Data were analyzed from a clinical trial that assessed the role of transplantation in newly diagnosed myeloma patients treated with lenalidomide, bortezomib, and dexamethasone (RVD). MRD negativity was achieved at least once during maintenance in 127 participants (25%). At the start of maintenance therapy, MRD was a strong prognostic factor for both overall survival (adjusted hazard ratio, 0.24; 95% CI, 0.11-0.54;  $P=0.001$ ) and progression-free survival (adjusted hazard ratio, 0.22; 95% CI, 0.15-0.34;  $P<0.001$ ). Individuals who were MRD negative had a higher probability of prolonged progression-free survival than individuals with detectable residual disease, regardless of treatment group (RVD vs transplant), cytogenetic risk profile, or International Staging System disease stage at diagnosis. These results were similar at the conclusion of maintenance therapy. The authors concluded that the value of MRD status, as determined by NGS, is a prognostic biomarker in MM, and suggested that this approach be used to adapt treatment strategies in future clinical trials.

The NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines on Multiple Myeloma (V3.2023) include FC, next-generation flow, NGS, and imaging as acceptable means to detect MRD outside the bone marrow. The NCCN also now defines MRD as “MRD negativity in the marrow (next-generation flow [NGF], next-generation sequencing [NGS], or both) and by imaging”. The NCC further states that “subsequent evaluations can be used to further specify the duration of negativity (eg, MRD-negative at 5 years”. In the discussion of follow-up tests after stem cell transplantation, the NCCN states that “MRD assessment is increasingly being incorporated into post-treatment assessments. MRD has

---

Federal and State law, as well as contract language including definitions and specific coverage provisions/exclusions, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage. The member's contract benefits in effect on the date that services are rendered must be used. Clinical UM Guidelines, which address medical efficacy, should be considered before utilizing medical opinion in adjudication. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical UM guidelines are used when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether or not to adopt a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the back of the member's card.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.



## Measurable Residual Disease Assessment in Lymphoid Cancers Using Next Generation Sequencing

---

been identified as an important prognostic factor”. The NCCN has also endorsed the testing criteria recommended by the International Myeloma Working Group (IMWG).

The IMWG guidelines (Kumar, 2016) provide guidance on the use of MRD assessment in clinical trials involving individuals with MM. In addition to providing an overview of and noting the unique challenges associated with FC, ACO-PCR and NGS, the authors recommend that the use of NGS or next-generation flow for the detection of MRD in the bone marrow be based on the availability of these techniques at each facility. As part of the uniform response criteria for MM, the IMWG defines sequencing MRD-negative (complete response) as follows:

Absence of clonal plasma cells by NGS in bone marrow aspirate in which presence of a clone is defined as less than two identical sequencing reads obtained after DNA sequencing of bone marrow aspirates using the LymphoSIGHT platform (or validated equivalent method) with a minimum sensitivity of 1 in  $10^5$  nucleated cells or higher.

Additionally, the IMWG clarifies that the purpose of the document is not to judge the relative merits of NGS and next generation flow, or to imply that MRD assessment is a proven therapeutic goal in MM, but “to provide clear criteria that can be uniformly applied to and validated in future clinical trials and studies” (Kumar, 2016).

As mentioned above, in September 2018, the FDA granted marketing approval for ClonoSEQ assay test for MRD in patients with MM or ALL.

### *Various Lymphomas*

Ladetto and colleagues (2014) compared immunoglobulin heavy-chain-gene-based MRD detection by real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RQ-PCR) and NGS to assess MRD detection in individuals with B-cell disorders. A total of 378 samples from 55 participants with ALL, mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) or MM were investigated for clonotype identification, clonotype identity and comparability of MRD results. A total of 45 clonotypes were identified by RQ-PCR and 49 by NGS. Clonotypes identified by both methods were identical or > 97% homologous in 96% of cases. MRD results demonstrated a good correlation ( $R=0.791$ ,  $p<0.001$ ), with excellent concordance in 79.6% of cases. A small number of discordant cases were observed across all disease subtypes. NGS demonstrated at least the same level of sensitivity as ASO-PCR, without the need for patient-specific reagents. The authors acknowledged that while NGS appears to be an effective tool for MRD monitoring in ALL, MCL and MM, prospective comparative analysis of unselected cases is required to validate the clinical impact of NGS-based MRD assessment.

The NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines on Hairy Cell Leukemia (V1. 2023) do not specifically address the use of NGS of ctDNA to detect or monitor MRD. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines on Hodgkin Lymphoma (V2.2023) do not provide criteria for MRD testing.

## Definitions

Federal and State law, as well as contract language including definitions and specific coverage provisions/exclusions, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage. The member's contract benefits in effect on the date that services are rendered must be used. Clinical UM Guidelines, which address medical efficacy, should be considered before utilizing medical opinion in adjudication. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical UM guidelines are used when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether or not to adopt a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the back of the member's card.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

## Measurable Residual Disease Assessment in Lymphoid Cancers Using Next Generation Sequencing

---

**Adjuvant therapy:** Treatment given after the primary treatment to increase the chances of a cure; may include chemotherapy, radiation, hormone or biological therapy.

**Allele-specific oligonucleotide PCR (ASO-PCR):** A two-step nested polymerase chain reaction (PCR) technique that allows the direct detection of any point mutation in human DNA.

**Amplimer:** A piece of DNA formed as the products of natural or artificial amplification events, as in a polymerase chain reaction.

**Circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA):** Small portions of nucleic acid that are not associated with cells or cell fragments.

**Complete response/remission (CR):** The disappearance of all signs of cancer in response to treatment. This does not always mean the cancer has been cured. Also called a complete response.

**Deep sequencing:** A testing strategy in which sequencing a genomic region is done multiple times, sometimes hundreds or even thousands of times, allowing the detection of rare clonal types, cells, or microbes. Deep sequencing increases the yield with low purity tumors, highly polyclonal tumors, and applications that require high sensitivity (identifying low frequency clones).

**Flow cytometry:** A diagnostic test which identifies the arrangement and amount of DNA in a cell.

**IGH-DJ<sub>H</sub>:** Abbreviation for immunoglobulin heavy chain (IGH) diversity, and joining (VDJ); Also known as IGH incomplete.

**IGH-VDJ<sub>H</sub>:** Abbreviation for immunoglobulin heavy chain (IGH) variable, diversity, and joining (VDJ); Also known as IGH complete.

**IGK:** Abbreviation for immunoglobulin k locus.

**Lymphatic system:** The body's network of vessels through which lymph drains from the tissues into the blood. The lymphatic system includes the lymph nodes, bone marrow, spleen and thymus gland.

**Lymphocytes:** Specialized white cells found in the body's immune system.

**Lymphoma:** A type of cancer that begins in the lymphatic system.

**Measurable (minimal) residual disease (MRD):** The cancer cells that may remain in the body during or following treatment. These cells are present at levels undetectable by traditional microscopic (morphologic) examination of blood, bone marrow or a lymph node biopsy.

---

Federal and State law, as well as contract language including definitions and specific coverage provisions/exclusions, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage. The member's contract benefits in effect on the date that services are rendered must be used. Clinical UM Guidelines, which address medical efficacy, should be considered before utilizing medical opinion in adjudication. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical UM guidelines are used when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether or not to adopt a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the back of the member's card.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

## Measurable Residual Disease Assessment in Lymphoid Cancers Using Next Generation Sequencing

---

**Multiparametric flow cytometry (MFC):** A technique that uses fluorescently tagged antibodies and functional fluorescent dyes to quantify proteins in the cytoplasm or on the surface of cells and to measure activities occurring within cells themselves.

**Next-generation sequencing:** Any of the technologies that allow rapid sequencing of large numbers of segments of DNA, up to and including entire genomes. This technology includes but is not limited to high-throughput (deep) sequencing.

**Overall survival:** The length of time from the start of treatment (or diagnosis) to death, regardless of disease recurrence.

**Partial response:** A decrease in the size of a tumor or in the extent of cancer in the body, in response to treatment. May also be called partial remission.

**Progression free survival:** The time from the initiation of treatment until disease progression or worsening,

**Refractory Disease:** Illness or disease that does not respond to treatment.

**Relapse or recurrence:** After a period of improvement, during which time a disease (for example, cancer) could not be detected, the return of signs and symptoms of illness or disease. For cancer, it may come back to the same place as the original (primary) tumor or to another place in the body.

**Time to tumor progression (TTP):** The time from start of drug therapy to progression.

**Very good partial response (VGPR):** A term used to describe monoclonal protein levels identifiable by immunofixation but not electrophoresis in urine and serum. In prognostic terms, a VGPR is a less favorable response to treatment than complete response (CR) or stringent complete response (sCR) but is better than stable disease (SD) or partial response (PR).

### References

#### Peer Reviewed Publications:

1. Avet-Loiseau H, Corre J, Lauwers-Cances V, et al. Evaluation of minimal residual disease (MRD) by next generation sequencing (NGS) is highly predictive of progression free survival in the IFM/DFCI 2009 Trial. *Blood* 2015; 126:191. Abstract e23099. Available at: <http://www.bloodjournal.org/content/126/23/191?ssochecked=true>. Accessed on January 20, 2023.
2. Campana D. Status of minimal residual disease testing in childhood haematological malignancies. *Br J Haematol.* 2008 Nov; 143(4):481-489.

---

Federal and State law, as well as contract language including definitions and specific coverage provisions/exclusions, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage. The member's contract benefits in effect on the date that services are rendered must be used. Clinical UM Guidelines, which address medical efficacy, should be considered before utilizing medical opinion in adjudication. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical UM guidelines are used when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether or not to adopt a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the back of the member's card.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

## Measurable Residual Disease Assessment in Lymphoid Cancers Using Next Generation Sequencing

---

3. Dimopoulos MA, San-Miguel J, Belch A, et al. Daratumumab plus lenalidomide and dexamethasone versus lenalidomide and dexamethasone in relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma: updated analysis of POLLUX. *Haematologica*. 2018; 103(12):2088-2096.
4. Faham M, Zheng J, Moorhead M, et al. Deep-sequencing approach for minimal residual disease detection in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood*. 2012; 120(26):5173-5180.
5. Ignatiadis M, Dawson SJ. Circulating tumor cells and circulating tumor DNA for precision medicine: dream or reality? *Ann Oncol*. 2014; 25(12):2304-2313.
6. Korde N, Roschewski M, Zingone A, et al. Treatment with carfilzomib-lenalidomide-dexamethasone with lenalidomide extension in patients with smoldering or newly diagnosed multiple myeloma. *JAMA Oncol*. 2015; 1(6):746-754.
7. Kurtz DM, Green MR, Bratman SV, et al. Non-invasive monitoring of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma by immunoglobulin high-throughput sequencing. *Blood*. 2015; 125(24):3679-3687.
8. Ladetto M, Bruggemann M, Monitillo L, et al. Next-generation sequencing and real-time quantitative PCR for minimal residual disease detection in B-cell disorders. *Leukemia*. 2014; 28(6):1299-1307.
9. Logan AC, Gao H, Wang C, et al. High-throughput VDJ sequencing for quantification of minimal residual disease in chronic lymphocytic leukemia and immune reconstitution assessment. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A*. 2011; 108(52):21194-21199.
10. Logan AC, Vashi N, Faham M, et al. Immunoglobulin and T cell receptor gene high-throughput sequencing quantifies minimal residual disease in acute lymphoblastic leukemia and predicts post-transplantation relapse and survival. *Biol. Blood Marrow Transplant. J. Am. Soc. Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2014; 20(9):1307-1313.
11. Logan AC, Zhang B, Narasimhan B, et al. Minimal residual disease quantification using consensus primers and high-throughput IGH sequencing predicts post-transplant relapse in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Leukemia*. 2013; 27(8):1659-1665.
12. Martinez-Lopez J, Lahuerta JJ, Pepin F, et al. Prognostic value of deep sequencing method for minimal residual disease detection in multiple myeloma. *Blood*. 2014; 123(20):3073-3079.
13. Mateos MV;Dimopoulos MA;Cavo M, et al. Daratumumab plus bortezomib, melphalan, and prednisone for untreated myeloma. *N Engl J Med* 2018; 378(6):518-528.
14. Munshi NC, Avet-Loiseau H, Rawstron AC, et al. Association of minimal residual disease with superior survival outcomes in patients with multiple myeloma: a meta-analysis. *JAMA Oncol*. 2017; 3(1):28-35.
15. Oki Y, Neelapu SS, Fanale M, et al. Detection of classical Hodgkin lymphoma specific sequence in peripheral blood using a next-generation sequencing approach. *Br. J. Haematol*. 2015; 169(5):689-693.
16. Perrot A, Lauwers-Cances V, Corre J, et al. Minimal residual disease negativity using deep sequencing is a major prognostic factor in multiple myeloma. *Blood*. 2018; 132(23):2456-2464.
17. Pulsipher MA, Carlson C, Langholz B, et al. IgH-V(D)J NGS-MRD measurement pre- and early post-allotransplant defines very low- and very high-risk ALL patients. *Blood*. 2015; 125(22):3501-3508.
18. Ravandi F, Jorgensen JL, O'Brien SM, et al. Eradication of minimal residual disease in hairy cell leukemia. *Blood*. 2006; 107(12):4658-4662.
19. Rawstron AC, Fazi C, Agathangelidis A, et al. A complementary role of multiparameter flow-cytometry and high-throughput sequencing for minimal residual disease (MRD) detection in chronic lymphocytic leukemia: an European research initiative on CLL (ERIC) study. *Leukemia*. 2016; 30(4):929-936.

---

Federal and State law, as well as contract language including definitions and specific coverage provisions/exclusions, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage. The member's contract benefits in effect on the date that services are rendered must be used. Clinical UM Guidelines, which address medical efficacy, should be considered before utilizing medical opinion in adjudication. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical UM guidelines are used when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether or not to adopt a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the back of the member's card.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

## Measurable Residual Disease Assessment in Lymphoid Cancers Using Next Generation Sequencing

20. Roschewski M, Dunleavy K, Pittaluga S, et al. Circulating tumour DNA and CT monitoring in patients with untreated diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: a correlative biomarker study. *Lancet Oncol.* 2015; 16(5):541-549.
21. Thompson PA, Srivastava J, Peterson C, et al. Minimal residual disease undetectable by next-generation sequencing predicts improved outcome in CLL after chemoimmunotherapy. *Blood.* 2019; 134(22):1951-1959.
22. Vij R, Mazumder A, Klinger M, et al. Deep sequencing reveals myeloma cells in peripheral blood in majority of multiple myeloma patients. *Clin. Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk.* 2014; 14(2):131-139.e1.
23. Wu D, Sherwood A, Fromm JR, et al. High-throughput sequencing detects minimal residual disease in acute T lymphoblastic leukemia. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 2012; 4(134):134ra63.

### Government Agency, Medical Society, and Other Authoritative Publications:

1. Arber DA, Borowitz MJ, Cessna M, et al. Initial Diagnostic Workup of Acute Leukemia Guideline from the College of American Pathologists and the American Society of Hematology. *Arch Pathol Lab Med.* 2017; 141(10):1342-1393.
2. Arber DA, Orazi A, Hasserjian R, et al. The 2016 revision to the World Health Organization classification of myeloid neoplasms and acute leukemia. *Blood.* 2016; 127(20):2391-2405.
3. Athale UH, Gibson PJ, Bradley NM, et al. Minimal residual disease and childhood leukemia: standard of care recommendations from the Pediatric Oncology Group of Ontario MRD Working Group. *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* 2016; 63(6):973-982
4. Avet-Loiseau H. Minimal residual disease by next-generation sequencing: pros and cons. *Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book.* 2016; 35:e425-3e40.
5. Eichhorst B, Robak T, Montserrat E, et al. ESMO Guidelines Committee. Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol.* 2021; 32(1):23-33.
6. Kumar S, Paiva B, Anderson KC, et al. International Myeloma Working Group consensus criteria for response and minimal residual disease assessment in multiple myeloma. *Lancet Oncol.* 2016; 17(8):e328-e346.
7. National Comprehensive Cancer Network® (NCCN). Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology™. © 2023 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. For additional information, visit the NCCN website: <http://www.nccn.org/index.asp>. Accessed on January 20, 2023.
  - Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (V1.2022). April 4, 2022.
  - Hairy Cell Leukemia (V1.2023). August 30, 2022.
  - Hodgkin Lymphoma (V.2.2023). November 8, 2022.
  - Multiple Myeloma (V3.2023). December 08, 2022.
8. Schuurhuis GJ, Heuser M, Freeman S, et al. Minimal/measurable residual disease in AML: a consensus document from the European LeukemiaNet MRD Working Party. *Blood.* 2018; 131(12):1275-1291.
9. U. S. Food and Drug Administration. 510(k). Substantial equivalence determination Decision summary (K200009). Available at: [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh\\_docs/reviews/K200009.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/reviews/K200009.pdf). Accessed on January 20, 2023.
10. U. S. Food and Drug Administration. Section 513(f)(2)(de novo) device approval letter. DEN170080. Available at: [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh\\_docs/pdf17/DEN170080.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf17/DEN170080.pdf). Accessed on January 20, 2023.

### Websites for Additional Information

Federal and State law, as well as contract language including definitions and specific coverage provisions/exclusions, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage. The member's contract benefits in effect on the date that services are rendered must be used. Clinical UM Guidelines, which address medical efficacy, should be considered before utilizing medical opinion in adjudication. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical UM guidelines are used when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether or not to adopt a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the back of the member's card.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

**Measurable Residual Disease Assessment in Lymphoid Cancers Using Next Generation Sequencing**

1. American Cancer Society.
  - Hodgkin: Lymphoma. Last revised May 1, 2018. Available at <https://www.cancer.org/content/dam/CRC/PDF/Public/8649.00.pdf>. Accessed on January 20, 2023.
  - What is Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma? Last revised July 24, 2020. Available at: <https://www.cancer.org/cancer/non-hodgkin-lymphoma/about.html>. Accessed on January 20, 2023.

**Index**

Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (also known as Acute Lymphocytic Leukemia)  
 Circulating Tumor DNA (ctDNA)  
 ClonoSEQ  
 ClonoSIGHT  
 Deep Sequencing  
 High-throughput Sequencing  
 Minimal Residual Disease (MRD)  
 Next Generation Sequencing

**The use of specific product names is illustrative only. It is not intended to be a recommendation of one product over another, and is not intended to represent a complete listing of all products available.**

**History**

Status	Date	Action
	05/08/2023	Updated information on MRD assessment for multiple myeloma in the Background/Discussion and Definitions section of document.
Reviewed	02/16/2023	Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee (MPTAC) review. Updated Discussion/General Information, References and Websites for Additional Information sections. Updated Coding section with 04/01/2023 CPT changes, added 0364U.
Revised	02/17/2022	MPTAC review. Title changed to Measurable Residual Disease Assessment in Lymphoid Cancers Using Next Generation Sequencing”. Updated NMN statement to read “Next generation sequencing of tumor DNA to detect or quantify measurable (minimal) residual disease in individuals with all other lymphoid cancer is considered not medically necessary”. Updated the Discussion/General Information and References sections. Updated Coding section to add 0171U previously addressed in GENE.00052.
Reviewed	11/11/2021	MPTAC review. Updated Discussion/General Information, References and Websites for Additional Information sections.

Federal and State law, as well as contract language including definitions and specific coverage provisions/exclusions, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage. The member's contract benefits in effect on the date that services are rendered must be used. Clinical UM Guidelines, which address medical efficacy, should be considered before utilizing medical opinion in adjudication. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical UM guidelines are used when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether or not to adopt a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the back of the member's card.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.

**Measurable Residual Disease Assessment in Lymphoid Cancers Using Next Generation Sequencing**

---

Reviewed	11/05/2020	MPTAC review. Updated review date, References, Web Sites for Additional Information and History sections of the document. Reformatted Coding section.
New	11/07/2019	MPTAC review. Initial document development. Moved content of GENE.00045 Detection and Quantification of Tumor DNA Using Next Generation Sequencing in Lymphoid Cancers to new clinical utilization management guideline with same title.

Historical

---

Federal and State law, as well as contract language including definitions and specific coverage provisions/exclusions, and Medical Policy take precedence over Clinical UM Guidelines and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage. The member's contract benefits in effect on the date that services are rendered must be used. Clinical UM Guidelines, which address medical efficacy, should be considered before utilizing medical opinion in adjudication. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Clinical UM Guidelines periodically. Clinical UM guidelines are used when the plan performs utilization review for the subject. Due to variances in utilization patterns, each plan may choose whether or not to adopt a particular Clinical UM Guideline. To determine if review is required for this Clinical UM Guideline, please contact the customer service number on the back of the member's card.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from the health plan.